

\$~138 (2021 Cause List)

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 10265/2021

SH HANUMAN SARAN Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sudhir Sharma, Advocate

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR. Respondents

Through: Ms. Monika Arora, CGSC with
Mr. Shriram Tiwary, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% **15.09.2021**

The proceedings in the matter have been conducted through hybrid mode [physical and virtual hearing].

CM APPL. 31627/2021 (exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application is disposed of.

W.P.(C) 10265/2021

1. The petitioner seeks directions upon the respondent no.2/Jawaharlal Nehru University [“the University”] to conduct computer based entrance examinations for admission to various postgraduate courses bilingually.

2. After some hearing, Mr. Sudhir Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner, seeks permission to withdraw the writ petition with a direction upon the University to consider the grievance of the petitioner as a representation and take an appropriate decision.

3. Ms. Monika Arora, learned counsel who appears on behalf of the University, upon advance notice, submits that the University will consider the representation and pass an appropriate direction.
4. Mr. Sharma states that the entrance examinations for the academic year 2021-2022 are likely to be held very shortly and seeks a decision within a short time frame. I find that the prospectus for admission was issued on 16.06.2021 whereas the petitioner has approached the Court only now. Even if the petitioner's representation is considered favourably, the question papers need to be translated and appropriate arrangements would have to be made for this purpose. It is for the University to consider whether that can be done for the present academic year.
5. The petition is, therefore, disposed of with a direction upon the University to consider the writ petition as a representation and pass appropriate orders. The University will do so as expeditiously as possible and practicable, and in the event that the matter is considered favourably, the University will take a view as to when the decision can be made effective.
6. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any view on the merits of the grievance raised by the petitioner, which is a matter for the University to consider in its own discretion.
7. The writ petition is disposed of with these observations.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

SEPTEMBER 15, 2021/'hkaur'/